



2015 LOS ANGELES COUNTY ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL ANTIBIOGRAM DATA

Table of Contents

***	Intro	oduction	1
*	Met	thodology Notesthodology Notes	3
*	Grai	m-Negative Organisms: Table	4
*	Grai	m-Negative Cumulative Antibiogram Data	5
	0	Acinetobacter baumannii clonal complex	5
	0	Citrobacter freundii	
	0	Citrobacter koseri	7
	0	Enterobacter spp	8
	0	Escherichia coli	9
	0	Klebsiella spp	10
	0	Morganella spp	11
	0	Proteus spp	12
	0	Providencia spp	13
	0	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	14
	0	Serratia spp	15
	0	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	16
*	Grai	m-Positive Organisms: Table	17
*	Grai	m-Positive Cumulative Antibiogram Data	18
	0	Enterococcus	18
	0	Enterococcus faecalis	19
	0	Enterococcus faecium	20
	0	Staphylococcus aureus	21
	0	Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	22
	0	Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)	23
	0	Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus)	24
	0	Streptococcus pneumoniae	25

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AR) is a global public health concern. Facility-level antibiograms provide a summary (usually prepared annually) of the percentage of isolates susceptible to a variety of antimicrobial agents within a healthcare facility. The facility antibiogram is an important tool for the development of antimicrobial stewardship policies and protocols for empiric antibiotic selection. Facility antibiograms are often limited by relatively few organisms tested and limited geographic sampling.

Los Angeles County Department of Public Health (LAC DPH) analyzes data from facility-level antibiograms to develop an understanding of antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance among bacteria

recovered from clinical specimens in LA County¹. Tracking susceptibility data will allow LAC DPH to better understand the problem of AR, and to better target interventions and prevention activities. LAC DPH will also use facility-level data to compile an annual **LA County Regional Antibiogram** that will be made available to healthcare facilities so they can compare their susceptibility rates to the county overall².

Regional antibiograms may be particularly useful to guide empiric therapy among: 1) small hospitals and skilled nursing facilities that do not encounter a wide variety of organisms; and 2) healthcare facilities outside LA County that receive patients from within LA County.

Although facility or regional antibiograms can assist healthcare professionals in guiding empiric therapies, clinicians should adjust antibiotic treatment to final microbiology results as soon as they are available³.

The 2015 antibiogram data presented in this report were submitted voluntarily from 75 LAC acute care hospitals. Moving forward, all LA County hospitals and skilled nursing facilities are required to report antibiogram data in accordance with a Health Officer Order issued by LAC DPH in January 2017 beginning with data from 2016⁴.

2105 LAC Antibiogram: Introduction

¹ http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/acd/docs/AntibiogramInstructions.pdf

² http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/acd/docs/Antibiogram HOO FAQ.pdf

³ Halstead DC, Gomez N, McCarter YS. Reality of Developing a Community-Wide Antibiogram. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2004;42(1):1-6. doi:10.1128/JCM.42.1.1-6.2004.

⁴ http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/acd/docs/CREorder.pdf

Methodology Notes:

- Data included in the Regional Antibiogram was based on compilation of data from published facility-level antibiograms.
- Facility-level antibiograms that are used to guide empiric therapy of initial infections are
 generally prepared following CLSI M39 which recommends including data from the first
 isolate/patient /analysis period. These reports do not include data from subsequent isolates on
 a patient which may be more resistant than the first isolate. Therefore, % susceptibility data is
 likely overestimated in some cases.
- Facility-level antibiograms were generally compiled for the calendar year January 1 to December 31.
- Not all facilities reported results for all organism/drug combinations.
- Results are reported as presented by local microbiology labs. Inpatient isolates were used whenever possible, but this could not be determined in some facilities.
- Susceptibility was defined by local labs in all circumstances.
- At least 25% of laboratories submitting data were using outdated breakpoints (higher than currently recommended) for carbapenems in 2015 when testing the gram-negative bacteria listed here. Consequently, %S data for ertapenem and meropenem may be erroneously high.
- For fluoroquinolones, % susceptibility was obtained from both ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin for gram negative pathogens and levofloxacin and moxifloxacin for gram positive agents. The % susceptibility statistic presented is whichever of these two agents revealed the higher value.

Additional Antibiogram Information can be found in "CLSI. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*. 28th ed. CLSI supplement M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018." And includes:

- Intrinsic resistance profiles of commonly encountered bacteria (Appendix B)
- Cumulative antimicrobial susceptibility report for anaerobic organisms (Appendix D)

Reference:

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2014. Analysis and Presentation of Cumulative Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Data; M39-A4. CLSI, Wayne, PA



2015 LOS ANGELES COUNTY ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL ANTIBIOGRAM



Gram-Negative Organisms

		Peni	cillins	C	Cephalosporin	ıs	Carbar	enems	А	minoglycosid	es	Quinolone	Other
Percent Susceptible (Number of isolates tested)	# of all isolates tested (# of hospitals reporting)	Ampicillin/ Sulbactam	Piperacillin/ Tazobactam	Ceftriaxone	Ceftazidime	Cefepime	Ertapenem	Meropenem	Amikacin	Gentamicin	Tobramycin	Ciprofloxacin/ Levofloxaxin	Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole
Acinetobacter sp.	3189 (66)	-	33 (1,873)	11 (1,475)	30 (2,184)	34 (1,864)	R	53 (1,561)	43 (2,004)	41 (2,970)	46 (2,126)	33 (3,024)	49 (2,859)
Citrobacter freundii	1975 (43)	R	97 (1,823)	82 (1,869)	83 (1,503)	98 (1,713)	99 (1,156)	99 (1,142)	100 (1,536)	92 (1,924)	93 (1,138)	91 (1,975)	81 (1,939)
Citrobacter koseri	631 (23)	-	99 (631)	96 (631)	97 (427)	100 (456)	100 (223)	100 (184)	99 (389)	99 (631)	99 (428)	99 (631)	96 (601)
Enterobacter sp.	8122 (66)	R	82 (7,507)	80 (7,307)	82 (6,204)	96 (7,040)	96 (4,417)	99 (4,638)	100 (6,235)	97 (7,972)	96 (4,630)	96 (8,120)	92 (8,018)
Escherichia coli	139212 (73)	55 (25,534)	93 (115,257)	86 (105,020)	86 (95,157)	86 (90,175)	100 (78,427)	100 (84,318)	99 (104,151)	86 (129,487)	81 (67,956)	70 (129,130)	66 (123,819)
Klebsiella sp.	30655 (72)	-	84 (25,586)	86 (23,006)	86 (19,120)	85 (19,895)	98 (15,578)	97 (17,025)	94 (22,223)	91 (27,934)	82 (16,128)	86 (28,047)	82 (26,934)
Morganella sp.	2235 (52)	-	96 (2,233)	88 (2,055)	81 (1,811)	98 (1,921)	100 (1,148)	100 (1,127)	99 (1,913)	71 (2,234)	86 (1,358)	60 (2,231)	55 (2,154)
Proteus sp.	16908 (68)	-	98 (15,836)	90 (15,682)	92 (13,067)	92 (13,832)	99 (9,018)	99 (9,903)	99 (13,470)	83 (16,554)	84 (10,176)	68 (16,738)	68 (16,491)
Providencia sp.	1618 (36)	-	73 (1,542)	66 (1,404)	55 (1,315)	77 (1,285)	88 (228)	90 (553)	91 (1,442)	11 (1,259)	14 (960)	11 (1,512)	46 (1,513)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	22804 (73)	R	83 (20,040)	R	82 (18,315)	84 (19,015)	R	82 (14,261)	95 (19,491)	83 (22,271)	91 (19,850)	69 (22,132)	R
Serratia sp.	2676 (58)	R	91 (2,098)	90 (2,403)	91 (2,188)	97 (2,203)	97 (1,414)	98 (1,579)	97 (2,188)	97 (2,757)	85 (1,677)	88 (2,646)	97 (2,544)
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	1719 (50)	R	R	R	37 (848)	R	R	R	R	R	R	79 (1,052)	90 (1,548)







2015 Los Angeles County Cumulative Antibiogram Data Gram-Negatives

Methodology Notes for Gram-Negatives:

- At least 25% of laboratories submitting data were using outdated breakpoints (higher than currently recommended) for carbapenems in 2015 when testing the gram-negative bacteria listed here.
 Consequently, %S data for ertapenem and meropenem may be erroneously high.
- %S for carbapenems varies considerably among facilities
- Meropenem results should not be used to predict imipenem results for any species, nor imipenem used to predict meropenem results.
- Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella are intrinsically less susceptible to imipenem than to meropenem. Imipenem should not be used to classify Proteus, Providencia, or Morganella isolates as CRE.
- For fluoroquinolones, % susceptibility was obtained from both ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin data combined for each facility. The % susceptibility statistic presented is whichever of these two agents revealed the higher value at each facility.

Acinetobacter baumannii clonal complex (n=3,189 from 66 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	-	-	-		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	33.3% (0-81%)	1,873	41		
Ceftriaxone	11.3% (0-61%)	1,475	29		
Ceftazidime	30.2% (2-100%)	2,184	42		
Cefepime	33.8% (3-82%)	1,864	35		
Imipenem	-	-	-		
Meropenem	52.9% (15-100%)	1,561	34		
Amikacin	43.2% (0-80%)	2,004	36		
Gentamicin	40.8% (10-100%)	2,970	62		
Tobramycin	46.1% (14-100%)	2,126	46		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	32.7% (3-100%)	3,024	62		
TMP-SMX	48.8% (18-84%)	2,859	59		

Comments from LA County Healthcare-Associated Infection and Antibiotic Resistance Committee:

Insufficient data were available for ampicillin-sulbactam and minocycline which might be useful for some strains of *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

Citrobacter freundii (n=1,975 isolates from 43 Hospitals)					
(11-1)	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	87.1% (63-100%)	1,823	44		
Ceftriaxone	82.1% (56-100%)	1,869	46		
Ceftazidime	83.2% (63-100%)	1,503	37		
Cefepime	98.2% (90-100%)	1,713	39		
Ertapenem	99.0% (90-100%)	1,156	24		
Imipenem	-	-	-		
Meropenem	98.5% (75-100%)	1,142	24		
Amikacin	99.8% (85-100%)	1,536	38		
Gentamicin	92.0% (72-100%)	1,924	48		
Tobramycin	92.9% (69-100%)	1,138	33		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	91.0% (72-100%)	1,975	49		
TMP/SMX	80.6% (54%-100%	1,939	48		

Citrobacter koseri (n=631 isolates from 23 Hospitals)						
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals			
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	-	-	-			
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	98.7% (89-100%)	631	23			
Ceftriaxone	95.7% (21-100%)	631	23			
Ceftazidime	96.7% (75-100%)	427	16			
Cefepime	100% (100%)	456	18			
Ertapenem	100% (100%)	223	9			
Imipenem	-	-	-			
Meropenem	100% (100%)	184	7			
Amikacin	99.0% (89-100%)	389	15			
Gentamicin	98.7% (93-100%)	631	23			
Tobramycin	99.1% (94-100%)	428	16			
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	98.9% (84-100%)	631	23			
TMP/SMX	95.5% (74%-100%	601	22			

Enterobacter spp. (n=8,122 from 66 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	82.3% (47-97%)	7,507	63		
Ceftriaxone	80.2% (38-97%)	7,307	57		
Ceftazidime	82.0% (38-97%)	6,204	51		
Cefepime	95.9% (38-100%)	7,040	51		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	82.3% (47-97%)	7,507	63		
Ertapenem	95.8% (54-100%)	4,417	23		
Imipenem	-	-	-		
Meropenem	98.7% (54-100%)	4,638	33		
Amikacin	99.7% (77-100%)	6,235	50		
Gentamicin	96.8% (54-100%)	7,972	64		
Tobramycin	95.6% (54-100%)	4,630	49		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	96.1% (46-100%)	8,120	66		
TMP-SMX	91.5% (54-100%)	8,018	65		

Escherichia coli (n=139,212 isolates from 73 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	54.6% (35%-68%)	25,534	19		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	93.2% (65-98%)	115,257	54		
Ceftriaxone	85.6% (59-93%)	105,020	50		
Ceftazidime	86.1% (57-94%)	95,157	45		
Cefepime	85.7% (58-95%)	90,175	42		
Ertapenem	99.7% (82-100%)	78,427	31		
Imipenem	-	-	-		
Meropenem	99.9% (99-100%)	84,318	29		
Amikacin	98.7% (87-100%)	104,151	51		
Gentamicin	85.6% (73-91%)	129,487	65		
Tobramycin	81% (63-92%)	67,956	48		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	70.1% (30-88%)	129,130	65		
TMP-SMX	65.6% (45-76%)	123,819	65		

Percent susceptible for oral agents for management of urinary tract infection, particularly trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and fluoroquinolones, is relatively low. Insufficient data were available for other oral agents which might be considered for urinary tract infections, such as nitrofurantoin, fosfomycin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and narrow-spectrum cephalosporins.

Klebsiella spp.						
(n=30,655 from 72 Hospitals)						
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals			
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	ī	-	-			
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	83.9% (25-96%)	25,586	57			
Ceftriaxone	85.5% (34-97%)	23,006	52			
Ceftazidime	85.8% (34-97%)	19,120	43			
Cefepime	84.8% (34-97%)	19,895	43			
Ertapenem	97.6% (55-100%)	15,578	29			
Imipenem	-	-	-			
Meropenem	96.8% (55-100%)	17,025	29			
Amikacin	93.7% (33-100%)	22,223	49			
Gentamicin	91.1% (57-98%)	27,934	63			
Tobramycin	81.5% (26-97%)	16,128	47			
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	86.0% (28-97%)	28,047	64			
TMP-SMX	81.8% (37-92%)	26,934	63			

Morganella spp. (n=2,235 isolates from 52 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	-	-	-		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	96.0% (43-100%)	2,233	52		
Ceftriaxone	87.5% (63-100%)	2,055	47		
Ceftazidime	81.1% (53-100%)	1,811	40		
Cefepime	97.6% (81-100%)	1,921	42		
Ertapenem	100% (100%)	1,148	24		
Imipenem	67.5% (33-100%)	572	16		
Meropenem	99.7% (88-100%)	1,127	23		
Amikacin	98.5% (75-100%)	1,913	42		
Gentamicin	70.7% (33-100%)	2,234	52		
Tobramycin	85.7% (74-99%)	1,358	35		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	59.6% (15-86%)	2,231	52		
TMP/SMX	54.5% (24%-80%	2,154	50		

Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella are intrinsically less susceptible to imipenem than to meropenem. Imipenem should not be used to classify Proteus / Providencia / Morganella isolates as CRE.

Clinicians should not use results of imipenem testing to infer activity of meropenem for any species.

Proteus spp. (n=16,908 from 68 Hospitals)						
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals			
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	-	-	-			
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	97.5% (31-100%)	15,836	63			
Ceftriaxone	90.4% (67-99%)	15,682	61			
Ceftazidime	91.6% (63-100%)	13,067	49			
Cefepime	91.7% (61-100%)	13,832	52			
Ertapenem	98.9% (88-100%)	9,018	32			
Imipenem	70.8% (11%-100%)	3,561	16			
Meropenem	98.5% (63-100%)	9,903	32			
Amikacin	98.7% (87-100%)	13,470	53			
Gentamicin	82.9% (61-93%)	16,554	66			
Tobramycin	84.1% (67-100%)	10,176	49			
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	67.8% (27-97%)	16,738	67			
TMP-SMX	67.6% (35-100%)	16,491	66			

Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella are intrinsically less susceptible to imipenem than to meropenem. Imipenem should not be used to classify Proteus / Providencia / Morganella isolates as CRE.

Clinicians should not use results of imipenem testing to infer activity of meropenem for any species.

Providencia spp. (n=1,618 isolates from 36 Hospitals)					
(Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Ampicillin-Sulbactam	-	-	-		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	72.5% (45-97%)	1,542	35		
Ceftriaxone	66.2% (30-100%)	1,404	32		
Ceftazidime	55.2% (33-82%)	1,315	29		
Cefepime	76.9% (58-97%)	1,285	28		
Ertapenem	88.2% (68-100%)	228	8		
Imipenem	73.5% (26-100%)	619	15		
Meropenem	90.1% (55-100%)	553	14		
Amikacin	91.3% (65-100%)	1,442	32		
Gentamicin	11.7% (0-73%)	1,259	29		
Tobramycin	14.4% (0-73%)	960	23		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	11.2% (0-40%)	1,512	34		
TMP/SMX	46.0% (23-85%)	1,513	34		

Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella are intrinsically less susceptible to imipenem than to meropenem. Imipenem should not be used to classify Proteus / Providencia / Morganella isolates as CRE.

Clinicians should not use results of imipenem testing to infer activity of meropenem for any species.

Some *Providencia* spp. are known to have intrinsic resistance to gentamicin and tobramycin, but not amikacin. These data support this previously observed pattern.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=22,804 from 73 Hospitals)						
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals			
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	82.8% (62-100%)	20,040	66			
Ceftazidime	81.7% (6-94%)	18,315	59			
Cefepime	83.6% (65-98%)	19,015	59			
Meropenem	81.7% (54-97%)	14,261	39			
Imipenem	-	-	-			
Amikacin	95.1% (74-100%)	19,491	62			
Gentamicin	83.2% (48-95%)	22,271	70			
Tobramycin	91.0% (77-97%)	19,850	61			
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	68.7% (45-90%)	22,132	71			

Carbapenem resistance among *Pseudomonas* spp. is relatively common in Los Angeles County. These data are particularly relevant to the empiric management of sepsis, where microbiologically active therapy is crucial (Kolleff et al. *Chest.* 1999; Kumar et al. *Critical care Medicine*. 2006).

One potential approach to improve the probability of microbiologically active therapy is the inclusion of adjunctive therapy with a non-beta-lactam antibiotic. (IDSA HAP/VAP guidelines – Kalil et al. *Clinical Infectious Disease*, 2016; Gutierrez-Gutierrez et al. *Lancet Infectious Disease*. 2017) Fluoroquinolone susceptibility is relatively low, compared to aminoglycosides. This may be relevant to management of pneumonia and other hospital-acquired infections where *Pseudomonas* spp. infection is likely.

Serratia spp. (n=2,676 isolates from 58 Hospitals)					
(11-2)	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Piperacillin-Tazobactam	90.9% (55-100%)	2,098	42		
Ceftriaxone	89.5% (55-100%)	2,403	51		
Ceftazidime	91.2% (36-100%)	2,188	47		
Cefepime	96.6% (67-100%)	2,203	45		
Ertapenem	97.1% (63-100%)	1,414	29		
Imipenem	-	-	-		
Meropenem	98.3% (92-100%)	1,579	30		
Amikacin	96.6% (29-100%)	2,188	47		
Gentamicin	97.1% (73-100%)	2,757	57		
Tobramycin	85.4% (29-100%)	1,677	39		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin	88.1% (33-100%)	2,646	57		
TMP/SMX	96.8% (33%-100%	2,544	56		

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (n=1719 isolates from 50 Hospitals)					
Susceptibility Number of Number of (Range) Isolates Hospitals					
Ceftazidime	37.4% (0-60%)	848	18		
Ciprofloxacin/Levofloxacin 78.8% (33-100%) 1,052 29					
TMP/SMX	90.4% (0%-100%	1,548	43		

Clinicians should be aware that local laboratories reported susceptibility results for beta-lactam antibiotics to which *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* are intrinsically resistant; Piperacillin-Tazobactam (n=3 hospitals), Ceftriaxone (n=4 hospitals), Cefepime (n=2 hospitals), Ertapenem (n=2 hospitals), and Meropenem (n=4 hospitals). (Sanchez et al, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia drug resistance*, Future Microbiology, Vol 4, No 6, 2009; Sanford Guide Antimicrobial Therapy 2017; Brooke, JS. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: An Emerging Global Opportunistic Pathogen*, Clinical Microbiology Reviews, Vol 25, No 1, p.2-41, 2012).

We also note that the local antibiogram reports for Stenotrophomonas maltophilia include aminoglycoside antibiotics; Amikacin (n=3 hospitals), Gentamicin (n=3 hospitals), and Tobramycin (n=3 hospitals). Resistance testing to aminoglycosides can be complicated by multiple factors, including temperature at which the isolate is tested. (Brooke, JS. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: An Emerging Global Opportunistic Pathogen*, Clinical Microbiology Reviews, Vol 25, No 1, p.2-41, 2012) Clinicians should be aware that local testing may not be reliable and that aminoglycosides show poor activity against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*.







2015 LOS ANGELES COUNTY ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL ANTIBIOGRAM

Gram-Positive Organisms

			Penicillins		Cephalosp orins	Quinolones		Aminoglyc osides				Other			
Percent Susceptible (Number of isolates tested)	# of all isolates tested (# of hospitals reporting)	Ampicillin	Oxacillin	Penicillin	Ceftriaxone	Levofloxaxin/ Moxifloxacin	Doxycycline	Gentamicin	Clindamycin	Daptomycin	Erythromycin	Linezolid	Nitrofurantoin	Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole	Vancomycin
Enterococcus *	30855 (70)	84 (25,516)	R	-	R	-	25 (8,585)	-	R	-	-	-	80 (13,869)	R	82 (29,587)
Enterococcus faecalis	13784 (51)	98 (11,794)	R	-	R	-	20 (5,954)	-	R	-	-	-	-	R	-
Enterococcus faecium	4153 (49)	11 (2,824)	R	-	R	-	37 (1,809)		R	-	-	-	-	R	-
Staphylococcus aureus	51084 73	-	58 (38,790)	-	-	-	94 (32,098)	88 (19,553)	72 (46,580)	99 (6,828)	-	100 (23,436)	-	98 (47,712)	100 (49,905)
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	16210 (49)	-	0 (11,145)	-	R	-	93 (12,448)	78 (6,254)	63 (15,759)	99 (2,662)	-	100 (12,314)	-	97 (15,968)	100 (15,838)
Methicillin- susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)	22238 (47)	-	100 (19,399)	-	-	-	95 (17,384)	96 (5,901)	83 (20,256)	100 (3,977)	-	100 (8,562)	-	98 (20,791)	100 (20,364)
Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus)	1009 (14)	-	·	100 (742)	-		14 (643)	-	21 (891)		58 (163)	-		100 (13)	-
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1182 (48)	-		88** (1,147)	96** (992)	93 (766)	75 (409)	-	-	-	69 (834)	-	-	-	-

^{*} not identified to species level

^{**%}S interpreted using non-meningitis (e.g., pneumonia) breakpoints; meningitis specific %S reported in detailed antibiogram tables Data not collected denoted by "-".







2015 Los Angeles County Cumulative Antibiogram Data Gram Positives

Methodology Notes for Gram Positives:

• For fluoroquinolones, % susceptibility was obtained from both levofloxacin and moxifloxacin for each facility. The % susceptibility statistic presented is whichever of these two agents revealed the higher value at each facility.

Enterococcus (n=30,855 isolates from 70 Hospitals)					
Susceptibility Number of Number of (Range) Isolates Hospitals					
Ampicillin	83.6% (47-100%)	25,516	59		
Doxycycline	24.9% (13-45%)	8,585	22		
Vancomycin	82.1% (25-100%)	29,587	66		
Nitrofurantoin	80.4% (41-100%)	13,869	37		

Comments from LA County Healthcare-Associated Infection and Antibiotic Resistance Committee:

This table includes data from Enterococcus isolates not identified to species level by the submitting laboratory and does do not include data from isolates listed as *E. faecalis or E. faecium*.

Nitrofurantoin data are useful for urine isolates only, but these data represent all sources.

Enterococcus faecalis (n=13,784 from 51 Hospitals)					
Susceptibility Number of Number of (Range) Isolates Hospitals					
Ampicillin	97.7% (63-100%)	11,794	47		
Doxycycline	20.0% (0-49%)	5,954	22		

Insufficient data are available to report vancomycin susceptibility.

Enterococcus faecium (n=4,153 from 49 Hospitals)					
Susceptibility Number of Number of (Range) Isolates Hospitals					
Ampicillin 10.8% (0-40%) 2,824 40					
Doxycycline	37.1% (30-80%)	1,809	20		

Insufficient data are available to report vancomycin susceptibility.

Staphylococcus aureus (n=51,084 from 73 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Oxacillin	58.5% (33-74%)	36,790	53		
Gentamicin	87.5% (63-98%)	19,553	38		
Doxycycline	93.8% (63-99%)	32,098	42		
Linezolid	99.5% (83-100%)	23,436	40		
TMP-SMX	97.6% (93-100%)	47,712	66		
Clindamycin	71.54% (46-88%)	46,580	68		
Vancomycin	99.9% (96-100%)	49,905	71		
Daptomycin	99.3% (99-100%)	6,828	11		

More than 95% of staphylococci are resistant to ampicillin and penicillin.

Daptomycin, linezolid and/or vancomycin resistance was reported for several isolates *Staphylococcus aureus* in LA in 2015. When very uncommon types of resistance such as these is encountered, isolates should be sent to a referral laboratory for confirmation.

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (n=16,210 from 49 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals		
Oxacillin	0.00% (0-0%)	11,145	31		
Vancomycin	99.9% (98-100%)	15,838	48		
Daptomycin	98.6% (96-100%)	2,662	10		
Linezolid	99.6% (95-100%)	12,314	33		
Gentamicin	77.9% (46-94%)	6,254	24		
Doxycycline	93.4% (74-98%)	12,448	33		
TMP-SMX	96.5% (90-100%)	15,968	47		
Clindamycin	63.0% (31-87%)	15,759	47		

Clindamycin percent susceptible is relatively low. This may have relevance for skin and skin structure infections (SSTI).

MRSA are predictably resistant to ceftriaxone and other antistaphylococcal β -lactams except ceftaroline.

Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) (n=22,238 from 47 Hospitals)				
	Susceptibility (Range)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals	
Oxacillin	100% (97-100%)	19,399	40	
Gentamicin	96.0% (86-99%)	5,901	22	
Doxycycline	95.1% (80-100%)	17,384	32	
Linezolid	99.6% (99-100%)	8,562	23	
TMP-SMX	97.5% (90-100%)	20,791	44	
Clindamycin	82.7% (48-100%)	20,256	43	
Vancomycin	99.9% (98-100%)	20,364	44	
Daptomycin	99.7% (99-100%)	3,977	9	

MSSA are predictably susceptible to ceftriaxone and other antistaphylococcal $\beta\mbox{-lactams}.$

Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococcus) (n=1,009 isolates from 14 Hospitals)					
Susceptibility Number of Number of (Range) Isolates Hospitals					
Penicillin	99.9% (97-100%)	742	8		
Doxycycline	13.8% (7-27%)	643	7		
Clindamycin	20.7% (0-88%)	891	9		
Erythromycin	57.7% (41-67%)	163	3		
TMP/SMX	100% (100%)	13	1		

We note that the clindamycin susceptibility is extremely low for Group B streptococcus. Further investigation of Group B streptococcus is ongoing, but we note that recent publications from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Metcalf et al. Clin Microbion Infect 2017) identified 41% of Group B Streptococcus were resistant to clindamycin largely due to 23S rRNA methylase gene (*ermB*, *ermTR* or *ermT*).

Furthermore, the CDC has issued specific guidance on the Prevention of Perinatal Group B Streptococcal Disease that includes susceptibility testing for patient with known allergy and particularly anaphylaxis to penicillin (MMWR Novemner 19, 2010, Vol. 59). These data should be discussed with local infectious disease specialists and microbiology experts.

All *Streptoccoccus agalactiae* reported to date worldwide have been sensitive to vancomycin, but clinicians should discuss the implications of these findings with infectious disease experts at their local institution.

Streptococcus pneumoniae (n=1,182 isolates from 48 Hospitals)					
	Susceptibility	Number of	Number of		
	(Range)	Isolates	Hospitals		
Penicillin	88.5% (40-100%)	1,147	44		
Penicillin (meningitis)	66.4% (47-100%)	494	18		
Ceftriaxone	96.1% (80-100%)	992	36		
Ceftriaxone (meningitis)	91.7% (77-100%)	458	15		
Doxycycline	74.8% (66-100%)	409	13		
Levofloxacin/Moxifloxacin	92.7 %(0-100%)	766	26		
Erythromycin	69.2% (43-100%)	834	31		

Ceftriaxone susceptibility at the meningitis breakpoint is 92% overall, but as low as 77% in at least one facility. These data support the use of vancomycin in the empiric treatment of suspected bacterial meningitis.

All *Streptoccoccus pneumoniae* reported to date worldwide have been susceptible to vancomycin.